Gene-specific optimization of data integration improves regression-based Gene Regulatory Network inference in Arabidopsis NetBio COSI

Océane Cassan : oceane.cassan@lirmm.fr Charles-Henri Lecellier, Antoine Martin, Laurent Bréhélin, Sophie Lèbre



July 20, 2023

Regression-based GRN inference paradigm

Modelling assumption

The expression of regulators hold predictive and descriptive power over the expression of their target genes

Ex : GENIE3 [Huynh-Thu et al., 2010], The Inferelator [Gibbs et al., 2022]



= 900

Regression-based GRN inference paradigm

Modelling assumption

The expression of regulators hold predictive and descriptive power over the expression of their target genes

Ex : GENIE3 [Huynh-Thu et al., 2010], The Inferelator [Gibbs et al., 2022]



Limitations

- High dimension
- High correlation among predictors
- Incomplete view of the regulation process

ELE SQC

Data integration in regression-based GRN inference

Modelling assumption

Complementary omics can bring more causality to GRN inference

Ex : iRafNet [Petralia et al., 2015], MEN [Greenfield et al., 2013], LASSO-Stars [Miraldi et al., 2019]



E SQA

Data integration in regression-based GRN inference

Modelling assumption

Complementary omics can bring more causality to GRN inference

Ex : iRafNet [Petralia et al., 2015], MEN [Greenfield et al., 2013], LASSO-Stars [Miraldi et al., 2019]



Current limitations

Omics contributions are rarely finely tuned. They usually rely on a close gold standard.

Objectives

- Can we define a new criterion to robustly estimate the optimal strength of data integration based on available data?
- What is the benefit of optimising data integration at the gene level?

ELE SQC

Objectives

- Can we define a new criterion to robustly estimate the optimal strength of data integration based on available data?
- What is the benefit of optimising data integration at the gene level?
- ${\ensuremath{{\scriptstyle \downarrow}}}$ Investigated for two common forms of integrative regression



ELE DOG

Modelling the root response to nitrate induction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*



Temporal response to nitrate induction

1426 genes, 201 regulators, N = 45 samples [Varala et al., 2018]

E SQA

Modelling the root response to nitrate induction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*



Temporal response to nitrate induction

1426 genes, 201 regulators, N = 45 samples [Varala et al., 2018]

TFBM prior matrix : \prod

PWM occurrence score in the target's promoter



TF binding sites from **JASPAR** and the **Plant Cistrome** Databases

[Castro-Mondragon et al., 2021, O'Malley et al., 2016]

 $\Pi_{r,t} = \begin{cases} 0 : \text{if the motif of } r \text{ is not in the promoter of } t \\ 1 : \text{if the motif of } r \text{ is in the promoter of } t \\ \frac{1}{2} : \text{if the motif of } r \text{ is missing} \end{cases}$

三日 のへの

Integrative regression-based GRN inference methods



iRafNet [Petralia et al., 2015]

LASSO-Stars [Miraldi et al., 2019]

EL SQA

Modelling assumption

We want to integrate **TFBMs while controlling that the prediction of gene expression is not deteriorated**.

A simulated null hypothesis



True data

Null hypothesis



Modelling assumption

We want to integrate **TFBMs while controlling that the** prediction of gene expression is not deteriorated.

Effective data integration

Average rank of PWM-supported regulators based on their importance



July 20, 2023

Modelling assumption

We want to integrate **TFBMs while controlling that the** prediction of gene expression is not deteriorated.

Prediction error (MSE)

Error committed by the regression model in predicting the target gene expression on test conditions



Modelling assumption

We want to integrate **TFBMs while controlling that the prediction of gene expression is not deteriorated**.

Ideal case

TFBM help selecting robust regulators and improve model generalisation performance



Intermediate cases

The MSE reaches an optimum, or is improved over chance



ELE DOG

Cases where data integration should be avoided

The MSE does not differ from chance or is even higher



315

Gene-specific integration offers low MSE, and good precision/recall

GRN reconstruction 4 0.005 density threshold on importanceranked edges (1432 edges)

GRN quality

metrics

4 Median MSE, and precision-recall curves against DAP-Seq in vitro TF binding [O'Malley et al., 2016]



ELE DOG

Distributions of optimal integration strengths $lpha_{ m opt}$



1.5

Distributions of optimal integration strengths $lpha_{ m opt}$



TFBM integration is not warranted for all genes

└→ Cooperative binding events? Post-transcriptional regulations (RNA stability)?

Key message

Ingredients to tune integrative regression-based GRN inference

- **Tissue specific metrics** available for **all genes** (like the MSE) prioritized over related, incomplete and imperfect gold standards.
- Simulated null hypotheses breaking data integration assumptions. TFBM will be integrated if they improve prediction performance over this baseline.

Code: https://github.com/OceaneCsn/integrative_GRN_N_induction

Key message

Ingredients to tune integrative regression-based GRN inference

- **Tissue specific metrics** available for **all genes** (like the MSE) prioritized over related, incomplete and imperfect gold standards.
- Simulated null hypotheses breaking data integration assumptions. TFBM will be integrated if they improve prediction performance over this baseline.

Code: https://github.com/OceaneCsn/integrative_GRN_N_induction

Results

- Desirable trade-off between MSE and precision/recall.
- Holds for both our linear and non-linear regression cases.
- Retrieves major players of nitrate nutrition in Arabidopsis.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 回 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Perspectives

Limitations

Computation time.

• Missing TFBMs.

 ${\bf \downarrow}$ Will be reduced as motif databases grow.

SIN NOR

Perspectives

Limitations

- Computation time.

Missing TFBMs.

4 Will be reduced as motif databases grow.

Future research directions

- Explore differences between the weightedLASSO and weightedRF and test the impact of linearity assumptions.
- Extend it to other organisms, potentially with enhancers to scan for TFBMs, and other types of omics and prior knowledge to integrate.

Acknowledgments

The organizing committee of ISMB-ECCB 2023

Joint LIRMM - IMAG - IGMM Montpellier Computational Regulatory Genomics team Sophie Lèbre, Laurent Bréhélin, Charles-Henri Lecellier, Mathilde Robin, Christophe Vroland, Eliott Butz, Quentin Bouvier



IPSIM : SIRENE team Antoine Martin

Funding : University of Montpellier and CNRS

References I

 Alvarez, J. M., Schinke, A.-L., Brooks, M. D., Pasquino, A., Leonelli, L., Varala, K., Safi, A., Krouk, G., Krapp, A., and Coruzzi, G. M. (2020).
 Transient genome-wide interactions of the master transcription factor NLP7 initiate a rapid nitrogen-response cascade.
 Nature Communications, 11(1).

Bellegarde, F., Gojon, A., and Martin, A. (2017). Signals and players in the transcriptional regulation of root responses by local and systemic n signaling in arabidopsis thaliana. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68(10):2553–2565.

 Castro-Mondragon, J. A., Riudavets-Puig, R., Rauluseviciute, I., Lemma, R. B., Turchi, L., Blanc-Mathieu, R., Lucas, J., Boddie, P., Khan, A., Pérez, N. M., Fornes, O., Leung, T. Y., Aguirre, A., Hammal, F., Schmelter, D., Baranasic, D., Ballester, B., Sandelin, A., Lenhard, B., Vandepoele, K., Wasserman, W. W., Parcy, F., and Mathelier, A. (2021). JASPAR 2022: the 9th release of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles.

Nucleic Acids Research, 50(D1):D165–D173.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 ののべ

References II

 Gibbs, C. S., Jackson, C. A., Saldi, G.-A., Tjärnberg, A., Shah, A., Watters, A., Veaux, N. D., Tchourine, K., Yi, R., Hamamsy, T., Castro, D. M., Carriero, N., Gorissen, B. L., Gresham, D., Miraldi, E. R., and Bonneau, R. (2022).
 High-performance single-cell gene regulatory network inference at scale: the inferelator 3.0.
 Bioinformatics, 38(9):2519–2528.

 Greenfield, A., Hafemeister, C., and Bonneau, R. (2013). Robust data-driven incorporation of prior knowledge into the inference of dynamic regulatory networks. *Bioinformatics*, 29(8):1060–1067.

Huynh-Thu, V. A., Irrthum, A., Wehenkel, L., and Geurts, P. (2010). Inferring regulatory networks from expression data using tree-based methods. *PloS one*, 5(9):1–10.

 Marchive, C., Roudier, F., Castaings, L., Bréhaut, V., Blondet, E., Colot, V., Meyer, C., and Krapp, A. (2013).
 Nuclear retention of the transcription factor nlp7 orchestrates the early response to nitrate in plants.

Nature communications, 4(1):1-9.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 ののべ

References III

Miraldi, E. R., Pokrovskii, M., Watters, A., Castro, D. M., De Veaux, N., Hall, J. A., Lee, J.-Y., Ciofani, M., Madar, A., Carriero, N., Littman, D. R., and Bonneau, R. (2019).

Leveraging chromatin accessibility for transcriptional regulatory network inference in T helper 17 cells.

Genome Res., 29(3):449-463.

- O'Malley, R. C., shan Carol Huang, S., Song, L., Lewsey, M. G., Bartlett, A., Nery, J. R., Galli, M., Gallavotti, A., and Ecker, J. R. (2016).
 Cistrome and epicistrome features shape the regulatory DNA landscape. *Cell*, 165(5):1280–1292.
- Petralia, F., Wang, P., Yang, J., and Tu, Z. (2015). Integrative random forest for gene regulatory network inference. *Bioinformatics*, 31(12):i197–i205.

Ueda, Y., Kiba, T., and Yanagisawa, S. (2020). Nitrate-inducible NIGT1 proteins modulate phosphate uptake and starvation signalling via transcriptional regulation of iSPX/i genes. *The Plant Journal*, 102(3):448–466.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

References IV

Varala, K., Marshall-Colón, A., Cirrone, J., Brooks, M. D., Pasquino, A. V., Léran, S., Mittal, S., Rock, T. M., Edwards, M. B., Kim, G. J., Ruffel, S., McCombie, W. R., Shasha, D., and Coruzzi, G. M. (2018).

Temporal transcriptional logic of dynamic regulatory networks underlying nitrogen signaling and use in plants.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(25):6494-6499.

 Vidal, E. A., Alvarez, J. M., Araus, V., Riveras, E., Brooks, M. D., Krouk, G., Ruffel, S., Lejay, L., Crawford, N. M., Coruzzi, G. M., and Gutiérrez, R. A. (2020). Nitrate in 2020: Thirty years from transport to signaling networks. *The Plant Cell*, 32(7):2094–2119.

Modelling assumption

Modelling assumption

We want to integrate TFBS only if they improve prediction when used jointly with expression data.

Effective data integration

Importance of PWM-supported regulators :

$$\frac{\Sigma_{\Pi_{r,t}=1} \text{Rank}(\text{Importance}_{r,t,\alpha})}{N_{\Pi_{r,t}=1}}$$

Prediction error (MSE)

Error committed in predicting the target gene expression :

$$\frac{1}{N_{OOB}} \sum_{i \in OOB} (y_{t,i} - \hat{y}_{m,t,i,\alpha})^2$$

A simulated null hypothesis

Modelling assumption



Modelling assumption



Modelling assumption



Criterion formal definition

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{\mu_{\text{shuffle},\alpha} - \mu_{\text{true},\alpha}}{\sigma_{\alpha}} \quad \alpha_{\text{opt}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \max_{\alpha \in [0,1]} (\Delta_{\alpha}) \leq 1 \\ \arg_{\alpha \in [0,1]} (\Delta_{\alpha}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Deviation measure choice (σ_{α}) can modulate integration stringency:

- $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\text{shuffle},\alpha} \rightarrow \text{Low, stringent data integration}$
- $\sigma_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\text{shuffle},\alpha} + \sigma_{\text{true},\alpha}) \rightarrow \text{Moderate data integration}$
- $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{true,\alpha} \rightarrow$ Strong, permissive data integration

Modelling of nitrate signalling can be improved

All models capture important nitrate actors [Bellegarde et al., 2017, Vidal et al., 2020].

Gene specific optimisation of α uniquely retrieves :

- NPL7[Marchive et al., 2013, Alvarez et al., 2020] and PHL1 [Ueda et al., 2020] for weightedLASSO
- New candidate TFs of interest for weightedRF



weightedRF, gene-specific optimsation

- Candidate nitrate regulator
- Nitrate regulator Retreived by gene
- specific data integration optimisation

ELE NOR